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#### Abstract

The first and second derivatives of a curve provide us fundamental information in the study of the behavior of curve near a point. However, if a curve is a polynomial space curve of degree $n$, we don't know much about the geometric meaning of the $n$-th derivative of the curve. There is no doubt that the Frenet frame is not suitable for this purpose because it is constructed by using first and second derivatives of a curve. On the other hand, in this paper by using a new frame called as Flc-frame we are able to give the geometric meaning of the $n$-th derivative of a curve. Moreover, we explore some basic concepts regarding polynomial space curves from point of view of Flc-frame in three dimensional Euclidean space.
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## 1. Introduction

As far as our knowledge the geometrical significance of the $n$-th order derivative of a curve does not seem to be discussed in literature. However, there is some paper which deals with geometrical interpretation of higher order derivatives of a curve. For instance, the geometrical significance of the third derivative of a curve is discussed in [11]. The third derivative is represented geometrically in terms of the quantity called aberrancy, which measures the asymmetry of a curve about its normal [12]. But, one can ask, what exactly prevented us from accomplishing geometrical significance of the $n$-th order derivative of a curve. The major difficulty arises from the fact that we can not write the $n$-th order derivative of a curve in term of Frenet frame. It is clear that the Frenet frame is not suitable for the investigation of the geometric interpretation of higher order derivatives of a curve. On the other hand by using the Flc-frame which is constructed by using the higher order derivatives of the curve, we are able to give geometric interpretation of $n$-th order derivatives of a curve.

Bishop [2] showed that apart from the Frenet frame, we can construct more frame along a space curve. His approach is based on rotating the Frenet frame by an angle [7, 14]

$$
\theta=-\int \tau\left\|\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right\| d t
$$

Despite the fact that Bishop frame is more suitable for applications [8], this frame is not an analitic frame [4]. Recently, Dede introduced a new frame along a polynomial space curve, called as Flc-frame. The computation of Flc-frame is easier than the both Frenet and Bishop frames. Moreover, the Flc-frame has less singular points than the Frenet frame. Therefore, the Flc-frame can be considered as an effective alternative to the RMF. Discussion of the Flc-frame and its

[^0]application to the tube surfaces can be found in [3]. Morever, for some of the recent researchs about the Flc-frame, see $[1,9,13]$.

Let $\alpha(t)$ be a polynomial space curve of degree $n$. The Flc-frame is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{t}=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|}, \mathbf{D}_{1}=\frac{\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|}, \mathbf{D}_{2}=\mathbf{D}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{t} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime' indicates the differentiation with respect to $t$ [3]. If the order of derivative exceeds three, we replaced prime by the superscript ( $n$ ), such as $\alpha^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=\alpha^{(4)}$. The new vectors $\mathbf{D}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{2}$ are called as binormal-like vector and normal-like vector, respectively.

The local rate of change of the Flc-frame called as the Frenet-like formulas can be expressed in the following form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{t}^{\prime}  \tag{1.2}\\
\mathbf{D}_{2}^{\prime} \\
\mathbf{D}_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=v\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & d_{1} & d_{2} \\
-d_{1} & 0 & d_{3} \\
-d_{2} & -d_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{t} \\
\mathbf{D}_{2} \\
\mathbf{D}_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|=v$.
We may define three new invariants of the curve by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}=\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{t}^{\prime}, \mathbf{D}_{2}\right\rangle}{v}, d_{2}=\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{t}^{\prime}, \mathbf{D}_{1}\right\rangle}{v}, d_{3}=\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{D}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathbf{D}_{1}\right\rangle}{v} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.1 ( [3]). A polynomial space curve is a straight line if and only if the all of the curvatures vanish identically, $d_{1}=d_{2}=d_{3}=0$.

Theorem 1.2 ( [3]). A polynomial space curve with the curvature $d_{1} \neq 0$ is planar if and only if the curvatures $d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ vanish identically, $d_{2}=d_{3}=0$.

The Darboux vector of a frame also known as angular velocity is a crucial information to understand the behaviour of the frame. The Darboux vector $\mathbf{d}_{F}=\tau \mathbf{t}+\kappa \mathbf{b}$ of Frenet frame describes the instantaneous rate of change of each of the vectors of Frenet frame at a given instant [5]. Therefore, the instantaneous angular speed satisfies $\left\|\mathbf{d}_{F}\right\|=\sqrt{\tau^{2}+\kappa^{2}}$. The RMF is characterized by the fact that the Darboux vector $\mathbf{d}_{R M F}$ of RMF satisfies $\left\langle\mathbf{d}_{R M F}, \mathbf{t}\right\rangle=0$, that is, the normal-plane vectors have no instantaneous rotation around the tangent vector [10].

## 2. Flc-frame Along a Polynomial Space Curve

In this chapter, we begin an investigation into the local theory of space curves by using the Flc-frame. Then, we obtain new formulas for calculating the three curvatures $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ of the curve.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\alpha(t)$ be a polynomial space curve of degree $n$. The curvatures $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ of the curve can be computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}=\frac{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|^{3}\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|}, d_{2}=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{(n)}\right]}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{3}=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{(n)}\right]\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{(n)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|^{2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In order to find the curvature $d_{1}$, we first differentiate the unit tangent vector $\mathbf{t}$ in (1.1), then by substituting result in (1.3), we get

$$
d_{1}=\frac{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime},\left(\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right) \wedge \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|^{3}\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|}
$$

By using the vector triple product $(\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}) \wedge \mathbf{c}=-\mathbf{a}\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\rangle+\mathbf{b}\langle\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}\rangle$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}=\frac{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{(n)}\right\rangle-\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{(n)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|^{3}\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from the Lagrange's identity, it follows that

$$
d_{1}=\frac{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|^{3}\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|}
$$

Similar to the previous case, by using a direct computation one can obtain the curvature $d_{2}$ as follows

$$
d_{2}=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{(n)}\right]}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|}
$$

From (1.1) and (1.3), we have

$$
d_{3}=\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{D}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathbf{D}_{1}\right\rangle}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|}=\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{D}_{1}^{\prime} \wedge \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{D}_{1}\right\rangle}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|}
$$

From which the curvature $d_{3}$ is given by

$$
d_{3}=\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{D}_{1}^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|}
$$

and therefore using Lagrange's identity, we can establish the following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{3}=\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{D}_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{(n)}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathbf{D}_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha^{(n)}\right\rangle\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by differentiating $\mathbf{D}_{1}$ in (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{D}_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}+\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n+1)}\right)}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|}-\frac{\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right)}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|^{2}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the $n+1$-th derivative of the curve vanishes therefore by substituting (2.5) into (2.4), we get

$$
d_{3}=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{(n)}\right]\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{(n)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|^{2}}
$$

Thus, we state the following fundamental corollary.
Corollary 2.2. The curvatures $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ of the Flc-frame can be computed directly from the parametric curve.
Theorem 2.3. The new curvatures $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ of the curve does not depend on the parameter representation of the curve. Thus, the curvatures form a complete system of differential invariants.
Proof. Let $\beta(t)$ be a space curve of degree $n$ with curvature $d_{1}$ and let $\alpha(s)=\beta(\phi(t))$ be an another parametrization of the same curve with curvature $\widetilde{d}_{1}$. Without loss of generality, we can choose $\phi(t)=s^{m}$. Since the degree of the curve $\alpha$ is $n+m$, we need to calculate the ( $\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}$ )-th derivative.

The first three derivatives of $\alpha$ are evaluated as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime}(\phi) \phi^{\prime}  \tag{2.6}\\
\alpha^{\prime \prime}=\beta^{\prime \prime}(\phi) \phi^{2}+\beta^{\prime}(\phi) \phi^{\prime \prime}  \tag{2.7}\\
\alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}=\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}(\phi) \phi^{3}+3 \beta^{\prime \prime}(\phi) \phi^{\prime} \phi^{\prime \prime}+\beta^{\prime}(\phi) \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly, n -th derivative of the curve $\alpha$ is obtained by

$$
\alpha^{(n)}=\beta^{(n)}(\phi) \phi^{\prime n}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \beta^{(n-1)}(\phi) \phi^{\prime n-2} \phi^{\prime \prime} \ldots+\beta^{\prime}(\phi) \phi^{(n)}
$$

Differentiating again $\alpha^{(n)}$, then using $\beta^{(n+1)}=(0,0,0)$, we have

$$
\alpha^{(n+1)}=n \beta^{(n)}(\phi) \phi^{n-1} \phi^{\prime}+\frac{(n+1) n}{2} \beta^{(n)}(\phi) \phi^{\prime n-1} \phi^{\prime \prime}+\ldots+\beta^{\prime}(\phi) \phi^{(n+1)}
$$

Similarly differentiating up to order $\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n}$ and using $\phi^{(n+m)}=0$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{(n+m)}=\delta \beta^{(n)}(\phi) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\delta=\left([n(n-1) \ldots(n-m+1)] \phi^{\prime n-m} \phi^{\prime m}+n \phi^{\prime n-1} \phi^{(m)}+\ldots+\right) .
$$

Substituting (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) into (2.1) gives

$$
\widetilde{d}_{1}=\left.\frac{\phi^{\prime 4} \delta\left\langle\beta^{\prime}(\phi) \wedge \beta^{\prime \prime}(\phi), \beta^{\prime}(\phi) \wedge \beta^{(n)}(\phi)\right\rangle}{\phi^{\prime 4} \delta\left\|\beta^{\prime}(\phi)\right\|\left\|\beta^{\prime}(\phi) \wedge \beta^{\prime \prime}(\phi), \beta^{\prime}(\phi) \wedge \beta^{(n)}(\phi)\right\|}\right|_{t},
$$

which implies that

$$
\widetilde{d}_{1}=\left.\frac{\left\langle\beta^{\prime} \wedge \beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime} \wedge \beta^{(n)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\beta^{\prime}\right\|\left\|\beta^{\prime} \wedge \beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime} \wedge \beta^{(n)}\right\|}\right|_{\phi(t)}=d_{1} \circ \phi(t)
$$

The proof of the invariance of the curvatures $d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ are similar.
For example, let us consider the curve given by

$$
\beta(t)=\left(t, t^{2}, t^{3}\right)
$$

The curveture $d_{1}$ is obtained by

$$
d_{1}=\frac{12 t^{3}+6 t}{\sqrt{4 t^{2}+1}\left(9 t^{4}+4 t^{2}+1\right)^{3 / 2}}
$$

For $\phi(t)=s^{2}$, we have another curve given by

$$
\alpha(s)=\left(s^{2}, s^{4}, s^{6}\right) .
$$

Now, $\alpha(s)$ is a curve of degree six. Then, the curveture $\widetilde{d}_{1}$ is obtained by

$$
\widetilde{d}_{1}=\frac{12 s^{6}+6 s^{2}}{\sqrt{4 s^{4}+1}\left(9 s^{8}+4 s^{4}+1\right)^{3 / 2}} .
$$

It is easy to see that $\widetilde{d}_{1}=d_{1} \circ \phi(t)$.
Corollary 2.4. Let $\alpha(t)$ be a polynomial space curve of degree $n$. Apart from the Frenet frame, there are $(n-2)$ type frames which can be obtained by using this method. For example, we can obtain a new binormal vector by using the first and third derivatives of the curve. But, there is just one frame(Flc-frame) which is invariant under the reparameterization of the curve.

Corollary 2.5. If the degree of polynomial space curve is two, then the Flc-frame coincides with the Frenet frame with curvatures $d_{1}=\kappa, d_{2}=0$ and $d_{3}=\tau=0$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $\alpha(t)$ be a polynomial space curve of degree $n$. The relation between curvatures $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $d_{3}$ of the Flc-frame is obtained by

$$
-\frac{\left(\frac{d_{3}}{d_{2}}\right)^{\prime}}{1+\left(\frac{d_{3}}{d_{2}}\right)^{2}}=v d_{1}
$$

Proof. Let $\psi$ be an Euclidean angle between the normal-like vector $\mathbf{D}_{2}$ and the $n$-th derivative of the curve $\alpha^{(n)}$, shown in Figure 1, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha^{(n)}, \mathbf{D}_{2}\right\rangle=\left\|\alpha^{(n)}\right\| \cos \psi \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.1) we see that, the vectors $\alpha^{n}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{1}$ are orthogonal and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha^{(n)}, \mathbf{t}\right\rangle=\left\|\alpha^{(n)}\right\| \sin \psi \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha^{(n)}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle=v\left\|\alpha^{n}\right\| \sin \psi . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.1), (2.2) and (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d_{3}}{d_{2}}=-\frac{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{(n)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|}=-\tan \psi \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. The angle between the vectors $\mathbf{D}_{2}$ and $\alpha^{(n)}$.

It follows that,

$$
\psi=\arctan \left(-\frac{d_{3}}{d_{2}}\right)
$$

and differentiating the above equation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \psi=-\frac{\left(\frac{d_{3}}{d_{2}}\right)^{\prime}}{1+\left(\frac{d_{3}}{d_{2}}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand by using $\alpha^{\prime}=v \mathbf{t}$ and $\alpha^{\prime \prime}=v^{\prime} \mathbf{t}+v^{2}\left(d_{1} \mathbf{D}_{2}+d_{2} \mathbf{D}_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle=v^{\prime} v \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.11) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{(n)}\right\rangle=v^{\prime}\left\|\alpha^{n}\right\| \sin \psi+v\left\|\alpha^{n}\right\| \cos \psi d \psi \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.10), (2.14) and (2.15) into (2.3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}=\frac{d \psi}{v} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.13) and (2.16), we have the desired formula.
Theorem 2.7. Let $\alpha(t)$ be a polynomial space curve of degree $n$. The $n$-th derivative of curve can be written in term of the basis $\left\{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{D}_{1}, \mathbf{D}_{2}\right\}$ in the following form

$$
\alpha^{(n)}(t)=\left\|\alpha^{(n)}\right\|\left(\sin \psi \mathbf{t}+\cos \psi \mathbf{D}_{2}\right),
$$

where $\psi=\int d_{1} v d t$.
Proof. By using (2.9) and (2.10) the $n$-th derivative of the curve can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{(n)}(t)=\left\|\alpha^{n}\right\|\left(\sin \psi \mathbf{t}+\cos \psi \mathbf{D}_{2} .\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating (2.17) with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\mathbf{t} \cos \psi\left(d \psi-v d_{1}\right)+\mathbf{D}_{2} \sin \psi\left(v d_{1}-d \psi\right)+\mathbf{D}_{1}\left(\sin \psi v d_{2}+\cos \psi v d_{3}\right)=0
$$

Since the vectors $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{D}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{1}$ are linearly independent, the above equation is satisfied if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{clc}
d \psi-v d_{1} & =0  \tag{2.18}\\
v \sin \psi d_{2}+v \cos \psi d_{3} & =0
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (2.12), we have $\sin \psi d_{2}+\cos \psi d_{3}=0$, therefore the solution of the equation (2.18) is $d \psi-v d_{1}=0$, that is

$$
\psi=\int d_{1} v d t
$$

In addition, the tangential $\alpha_{T}^{(n)}$ and normal $\alpha_{N}^{(n)}$ components of the $n$-th derivative of the curve $\alpha^{(n)}$ can be written as

$$
\alpha^{(n)}(t)=\alpha_{T}^{(n)}(t) \mathbf{t}+\alpha_{N}^{(n)}(t) \mathbf{D}_{2},
$$



Figure 2. The circle (blue) has the same tangent (grey) and normal-like vector (red) with the curve (black).
where

$$
\alpha_{T}^{(n)}(t)=\frac{\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{(n)}\right\|}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|}, \alpha_{N}^{(n)}(t)=\frac{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{(n)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|} .
$$

Note that, a osculating-like plane of a curve $\alpha(t)$ at point $t_{0}$ is the plane spanned by $\mathbf{t}\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $\mathbf{D}_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)$ with normal vector $\mathbf{D}_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)$. The equation of osculating-like plane is given by

$$
\left\langle X-\alpha\left(t_{0}\right), \mathbf{D}_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\rangle=0
$$

It follows that

$$
\left[X-\alpha\left(t_{0}\right), \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right), \alpha^{(n)}\left(t_{0}\right)\right]=0
$$

where $X=(x, y, z)$ is the coordinate system.
Now we can give the geometric meaning of the $n$-th derivative of a polynomial space curve of degree $n$.
Theorem 2.8. Let $\alpha(t): I \rightarrow R^{3}$ be a polynomial space curve of degree $n$. A new circle $\beta(\psi)$ with radius $\left\|\alpha^{n}\right\|$ can be parametrized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(\psi)=p_{0}-\left\|\alpha^{(n)}\right\|\left(\sin (\psi) \mathbf{t}\left(t_{0}\right)+\cos (\psi) \mathbf{D}_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the osculating-like plane of the curve at the point $t_{0} \in I$ and the center of the circle $p_{0}$ is given by

$$
p_{0}=\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)+\left\|\alpha^{(n)}\right\| \mathbf{D}_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

In Figure 2, the circle has the same tangent and normal-like vectors with the curve at the point $t_{0}$.
Proof. If $\psi=0$, then we have $\beta(0)=p_{0}-\left\|\alpha^{n}\right\| \mathbf{D}_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)$ which implies

$$
p_{0}=\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)+\left\|\alpha^{(n)}\right\| \mathbf{D}_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

Differentiating (2.19) with respect to $\psi$ gives

$$
\beta^{\prime}(\psi)=-\left\|\alpha^{(n)}\right\|\left(d \psi \cos (\psi) \mathbf{t}\left(t_{0}\right)+d \psi \sin (\psi) \mathbf{D}_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)
$$

which implies that the tangent vector $\mathbf{t}_{\beta}$ of the circle coincides with the tangent vector of the curve as follows

$$
\mathbf{t}_{\beta}(0)=\frac{\beta^{\prime}(0)}{\left\|\beta^{\prime}(0)\right\|}=\mathbf{t}\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

and this concludes that the curve and circle have the common normal-like vector $\mathbf{D}_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)$.
Note that, the new circle is the unique circle which passes through $t_{0}$, has the same tangent in $t_{0}$ as $\alpha$ as well as the same curvature $d_{1}$, and whose center lies in the direction of the unit normal-like vector.


Figure 3. The curve $\alpha(t)=\left(t, t, t^{3} / 10\right)$ with two circles at the points $t_{0}=-1.3$ and $t_{0}=0.9$

(a) The curve $a(t)=\left(t, t^{2}, t^{3} / 10\right)$ with circles.
(b) The curve $a(t)=\left(t, t^{2}, t^{3} / 30\right)$ with circles.

Figure 4. The circles at the points $t=-1.3$ and $t=0.9$. The effect of of leading coefficients.

Corollary 2.9. Let $\alpha(t)$ be a polynomial space curve of degree $n$ parametrized by

$$
\alpha(t)=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} t^{i}, \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_{i} t^{i}, \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_{i} t^{i}\right)
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ are coefficients.
The circle $\beta(\psi)$ can be characterized by the following properties:

- Since the radius of the circle is $\left\|\alpha^{(n)}\right\|=n!c$ where $c=\sqrt{a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}+c_{n}^{2}}$, the radius of the circle is constant. Figure 3 demonstrated that just the position of the circle changes when the circle moves along the curve.
- The radius of the circle is just depend on leading coefficients $a_{n}, b_{n}$ or $c_{n}$ and degree of the curve.
- This circle is a global property of polynomial space curves. For the curves have the same degree, if c decreases then the radius of the circle decreases. The Figure 4 demonstrates that if $c$ decrease then the curve approximates the osculating-like plane.

Theorem 2.10. The Darboux vector $\mathbf{d}_{\text {Flc }}$ of the Flc-frame can be obtained as in the following form

$$
\mathbf{d}_{F l c}=v\left(d_{3} \mathbf{t}-d_{2} \mathbf{D}_{2}+d_{1} \mathbf{D}_{1}\right) .
$$

Proof. The variation of the Flc-frame in terms of its Darboux vector $\mathbf{d}_{\text {Flc }}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{t}^{\prime}=\mathbf{d}_{F l c} \wedge \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{D}_{2}^{\prime}=\mathbf{d}_{F} \wedge \mathbf{D}_{2}, \mathbf{D}_{1}^{\prime}=\mathbf{d}_{F} \wedge \mathbf{D}_{1} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{D}_{2}, \mathbf{D}_{1}\right\}$ are mutually orthogonal, they form a basis for the vector fields along. Hence, there exist functions $a, b, c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{d}_{F l c}=a \mathbf{t}+b \mathbf{D}_{2}+c \mathbf{D}_{1} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (1.2), (2.20) and (2.21) we have

$$
\mathbf{d}_{F l c}=v\left(d_{3} \mathbf{t}-d_{2} \mathbf{D}_{2}+d_{1} \mathbf{D}_{1}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that, the Darboux vector $\mathbf{d}_{F l c}$ of the Flc-frame does not satisfy $\left\langle\mathbf{d}_{F l c}, \mathbf{t}\right\rangle=0$, therefore it is not a RMF.

Thus, the instantaneous angular speed of the Flc-frame is calculated as follows

$$
\left\|\mathbf{d}_{F l c}\right\|=v \sqrt{d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}+d_{3}^{2}}
$$

In this section we will compare the angular speed of the frames: Frenet $\left\|\mathbf{d}_{F}\right\|=v \sqrt{\tau^{2}+\kappa^{2}}$, Bishop $\left\|\mathbf{d}_{F}\right\|=v \sqrt{\kappa^{2}}$ and Flc-frame $\left\|\mathbf{d}_{\text {Flc }}\right\|=v \sqrt{d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}+d_{3}^{2}}$.

Example 2.11. Let us consider a curve given by

$$
\alpha(t)=\left(2 t, t^{2}, t^{3}\right)
$$

In Figure 5, we are able to compare the instantaneous angular speed of the Flc-frame against two standard methods of curve framing: the RMF and the Frenet frame.


Figure 5. Comparison of the instantaneous angular speed of the Flc-frame (left), RMF (center) and the Frenet frame (right).

Observe that although the Flc-frame is not rotation-minimizing frame with respect to $\mathbf{t}$, there is almost no difference between the instantaneous angular speeds of the frames: the RMF and the Flc-frame.

## 3. Conclusion

In this paper we propose a new method to examine the geometric meaning of the $n$-th derivative of a polynomial space curve of degree $n$. Summarizing, the norm of $n$-th derivative of a polynomial space curve of degree $n$ is a radius of a circle in the osculating-like plane of the curve.
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